UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

27765

P.O. BOX 506

MERRIFIELD, VA 22116

7590

10/16/2008

NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION

ABRAHAM, ESAW T

ART UNIT

EXAMINER

PAPER NUMBER

2112

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2008

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,276	02/20/2004	Po-Wei Liu	REAP0050USA	2275

TITLE OF INVENTION: METHOD FOR DETERMINING INTEGRITY OF MEMORY

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300	\$0	\$1810	01/16/2009

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. <u>PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED</u>. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current **SMALL ENTITY status:**

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B -Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 (571)-273-2885 or <u>Fax</u>

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where appropriate. All further correspondence including the Patent, advance orders and notification of maintenance fees will be mailed to the current correspondence address as indicated unless corrected below or directed otherwise in Block 1, by (a) specifying a new correspondence address; and/or (b) indicating a separate "FEE ADDRESS" for maintenance fee notifications.

Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Block 1 for any change of address) Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own certificate of mailing or transmission. 27765 7590 10/16/2008 Certificate of Mailing or Transmission NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION by certify that this Fee(s) Transmittal is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop ISSUE FEE address above, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO (571) 273-2885, on the date indicated below. P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116 (Depositor's name (Signature (Date APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 10/708.276 02/20/2004 Po-Wei Liu REAP0050USA 2275 TITLE OF INVENTION: METHOD FOR DETERMINING INTEGRITY OF MEMORY APPLN. TYPE SMALL ENTITY ISSUE FEE DUE PUBLICATION FEE DUE PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE TOTAL FEE(S) DUE DATE DUE nonprovisional NO \$1510 \$300 \$0 \$1810 01/16/2009 **EXAMINER** ART UNIT CLASS-SUBCLASS ABRAHAM, ESAW T 714-733000 1. Change of correspondence address or indication of "Fee Address" (37 CFR 1.363). 2. For printing on the patent front page, list (1) the names of up to 3 registered patent attorneys or agents OR, alternatively, ☐ Change of correspondence address (or Change of Correspondence Address form PTO/SB/122) attached. (2) the name of a single firm (having as a member a ☐ "Fee Address" indication (or "Fee Address" Indication form PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 or more recent) attached. Use of a Customer Number is required. registered attorney or agent) and the names of up to 2 registered patent attorneys or agents. If no name is listed, no name will be printed. 3. ASSIGNEE NAME AND RESIDENCE DATA TO BE PRINTED ON THE PATENT (print or type) PLEASE NOTE: Unless an assignee is identified below, no assignee data will appear on the patent. If an assignee is identified below, the document has been filed for recordation as set forth in 37 CFR 3.11. Completion of this form is NOT a substitute for filing an assignment. (A) NAME OF ASSIGNEE (B) RESIDENCE: (CITY and STATE OR COUNTRY) 4b. Payment of Fee(s): (Please first reapply any previously paid issue fee shown above) 4a. The following fee(s) are submitted: lssue Fee A check is enclosed. Publication Fee (No small entity discount permitted) Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the required fee(s), any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number ______ (enclose an extra copy of this fo Advance Order - # of Copies _ (enclose an extra copy of this form). 5. Change in Entity Status (from status indicated above) a. Applicant claims SMALL ENTITY status. See 37 CFR 1.27. ■ b. Applicant is no longer claiming SMALL ENTITY status. See 37 CFR 1.27(g)(2). NOTE: The Issue Fee and Publication Fee (if required) will not be accepted from anyone other than the applicant; a registered attorney or agent; or the assignee or other party in interest as shown by the records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Authorized Signature Date Typed or printed name Registration No. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.311. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/708,276	02/20/2004	Po-Wei Liu	REAP0050USA	2275	
27765 75	590 10/16/2008		EXAMINER		
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			ABRAHAM, ESAW T		
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2112		
			DATE MAILED: 10/16/2008		

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 415 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 415 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 (571)-272-4200.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/708,276 LIU ET AL.		LIU ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	 ESAW T. ABRAHAM	2112	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea. All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 1. ☑ This communication is responsive to Amdt filed on 07/18/0	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commur (GHTS. This application is suit and MPEP 1308.	this application. If not included incation will be mailed in due co	urse. THIS
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-30</u> .			
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Application	No	n from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	IENT of this application.		
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 			TICE OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus			
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	•	(PTO-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date			
 (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1) 			ook) of
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			ick) oi
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 			e the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Sui Paper No./M 7. ☐ Examiner's A	ormal Patent Application mmary (PTO-413), lail Date mendment/Comment statement of Reasons for Allowa	ance

Application/Control Number: 10/708,276 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response was received July 18, 2008.

Claims 1-30 are allowed.

- Claim rejection under 112, 2nd paragraph and under 101 rejections are withdrawn

in light of amendments.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. Claims **1-30** are allowed. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Independent **claim 1** of the present application teaches, for example, A method for determining whether a memory is defected or has integrity under a plurality of operating environments comprising setting a plurality of operating environments respectively corresponding to variations in a condition to be tested; repeatedly testing the same memory having defective sections under each of the plurality of operating environments; recording a result of the testing step for each of the plurality of operating environments; and comparing the recorded results for each of the plurality of operating environments, wherein if the results are the same for each of the plurality of operating environments then the memory is determined to have integrity and if the results are different for at least two of the plurality of operating environments then the memory is determined to be defected.

The foregoing limitations are not found in the prior arts of record. Particularly, none of the prior arts of record teach nor fairly suggest, "setting a plurality of operating

environments respectively corresponding to variations in a condition to be tested; repeatedly testing the same memory having defective sections under each of the plurality of operating environments; recording a result of the testing step for each of the plurality of operating environments; and comparing the recorded results for each of the plurality of operating environments, wherein if the results are the same for each of the plurality of operating environments then the memory is determined to have integrity and if the results are different for at least two of the plurality of operating environments then the memory is determined to be defected". Consequently, claim 1 is allowed over the prior art.

Dependent claims **2-10** depend from allowable independent claim and inherently include limitations therein and therefore are allowed as well.

As per claim 11:

Independent claim 11 of the present application teaches, for example, A method for determining whether a memory is defected or has integrity, comprising testing the memory having defective sections under a first operating environment corresponding to a condition to be tested; recording a first result of the testing step under the first operating environment; testing the memory having defective sections under a second operating environment, wherein the second operating environment corresponds to a variation of the condition to be tested in the first operating environment; recording a second result of the testing step under the second operating environment; and comparing the first result with the second result, wherein if the first result is equal to the second result then the memory is determined to have integrity and if the first result is different from the second result then the memory is determined to be defected.

Page 4

The foregoing limitations are not found in the prior arts of record. Particularly, none of the prior arts of record teach nor fairly suggest, "recording a first result of the testing step under the first operating environment; testing the memory having defective sections under a second operating environment, wherein the second operating environment corresponds to a variation of the condition to be tested in the first operating environment; recording a second result of the testing step under the second operating environment; and comparing the first result with the second result, wherein if the first result is equal to the second result then the memory is determined to have integrity and if the first result is different from the second result then the memory is determined to be defected". Consequently, claim 11 is allowed over the prior art.

Dependent claims **12-17** depend from allowable independent claim and inherently include limitations therein and therefore are allowed as well.

As per claim 18:

Independent **claim 18** of the present application teaches, for example, A method for determining integrity of a memory with sections that are defective, the method comprising: repeatedly testing the memory having defective sections under a plurality of operating environments respectively corresponding to a given condition to be tested; recording a result of the testing step for each of the plurality of operating environments; comparing the results; and determining whether the results are consistent or inconsistent with one another; wherein the results correspond to the given condition, and if the results are consistent with one another the memory is determined to have integrity, and if the results are inconsistent with one another then the memory is determined to be defected.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,276

Page 5

Art Unit: 2112

The foregoing limitations are not found in the prior arts of record. Particularly, none of the prior arts of record teach nor fairly suggest, "recording a result of the testing step for each of the plurality of operating environments; comparing the results; and determining whether the results are consistent or inconsistent with one another; wherein the results correspond to the given condition, and if the results are consistent with one another the memory is determined to have integrity, and if the results are inconsistent with one another then the memory is determined to be defected". Consequently, claim 18 is allowed over the prior art.

Dependent claims **19 and 20** depend from allowable independent claim and inherently include limitations therein and therefore are allowed as well.

As per claim 21:

Independent claim 21 of the present application teaches, for example, A method for determining whether a memory is defected or has integrity under a plurality of operating environments comprising setting a plurality of operating environments respectively corresponding to variations in a condition to be tested; repeatedly testing the same memory under each of the plurality of operating environments; recording a result of the testing step for each of the plurality of operating environments; and comparing the recorded results for each of the plurality of operating environments, wherein if the results are the same for each of the plurality of operating environments then the memory is determined to have integrity and if the results are different for at least two of the plurality of operating environments then the memory is determined to be defected.

The foregoing limitations are not found in the prior arts of record. Particularly, none of the prior arts of record teach nor fairly suggest, "repeatedly testing the same memory under each of the plurality of operating environments; recording a result of the testing step for each of the plurality of operating environments; and comparing the recorded results for each of the plurality of operating environments, wherein if the results are the same for each of the plurality of operating environments then the memory is determined to have integrity and if the results are different for at least two of the plurality of operating environments then the memory is determined to be defected". Consequently, claim 21 is allowed over the prior art.

Dependent claims 22-30 depend from allowable independent claim and inherently include limitations therein and therefore are allowed as well.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Esaw T. Abraham whose telephone number is (571) 272-3812. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on (571) 272-6962. The fax phone Application/Control Number: 10/708,276 Page 7

Art Unit: 2112

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/EA/

/Esaw T Abraham/

Examiner, Art Unit 2112

10/07/08